

Open evidence and public accountability

I will establish a public-interest research and accountability dashboard so people can inspect results, challenge explanations and compare performance over time.

WHAT I WILL BRING FORWARD

- Publish source data where lawful, definitions, code, update schedules and revisions. Track service reliability, costs, housing, safety and outcomes across neighbourhoods.
- Let Agora participants debate the values and weights used in an optional scorecard. Keep the underlying measures visible and publish old and new scores when weights change.
- Use AI to identify inconsistencies, explain records and propose improvements. Require human verification, independent audit and visible uncertainty for consequential findings.
- Maintain a disputes and corrections process, proactive disclosure and protected whistleblower routes. Scrutinize major contracts, grants and regulatory outcomes without presuming wrongdoing.

Delivery. Start with a small set of verifiable indicators and named data owners. Extend a secure AI Review Layer to procurement and policy checks in approved environments.

Public measures. Report missing data, freshness, corrections, unresolved disputes, audit recommendations completed and demonstrated savings. Separate observed facts, official claims, interpretation and proposals.

Conditions. A popular vote can change policy priorities; it cannot change historical facts. AI does not decide who deserves office or prove corruption. Protect personal, privileged and investigative records. Evidence Deck hypotheses remain research questions until evidence supports a finding.

Connects to: 05, 09, 11, 24, 26

Evidence notes: S09 in the full policy package.